



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,709	07/21/2003	Joseph Maranto	W-3941	7577
7590	05/18/2005		EXAMINER	
WORREL & WORREL ST. CROIX PROFESSIONAL CENTER SUITE 121 2109 W. BULLARD AVE. FRESNO, CA 93711-1258			BELL, KENT L	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1661
DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/624,709	MARANTO, JOSEPH	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kent L. Bell	1661	/

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

Papers filed 2/11/05 and 11/3/04

- 1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.

2a)  This action is FINAL.                  2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## **Disposition of Claims**

- 4)  Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## **Application Papers**

- 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on 7/21/13 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
  
4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Detailed Action**

**Objection to the Disclosure**

**37 CFR 1.163**

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

**35 USC 112**

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

**Detailed Action**

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 35 CFR 1.163(a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear, and complete botanical description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se and which distinguish the plant from related known cultivars and antecedents.

More Specifically:

A. Applicant should insert --Plant-- after "Grapvine" in the Title.

B. Page 3, lines 5 and 21, Applicant states "from any known grapevine varieties" and "any other known varieties". Rather than stating either of the recitations above it is suggested that Applicant insert --from any grapevine varieties known to the inventor-- as it is in the realm of possibility that another grapevine variety with the same or similar characteristics exists unknown to the inventor.

**Detailed Action**

C. As stated in the previous Office action mailed June 29, 2004, Applicant should provide comparisons of the instant plant to both parents. Such need not be in any great detail but should distinguish the plants from each other. It is noted in the Remarks section, page 2, filed November 3, 2004, that applicant "cannot provide sufficiently dependable information in this regard to amend the subject application". The Examiner respectfully disagrees in that applicant states "the present variety is substantially distinguishable from its parentage" on page 3, lines 7 and 8 of the specification. If Applicant can make this statement, applicant must have compared the plants and thus must have noted distinguishable differences between the plants. It is therefor believed the information requested can be easily set forth in the specification as it appears the distinguishing information is already known.

D. Page 4, lines 10-15, Applicant should set forth in the specification the age of the plant when described in the specified location of culture. It is noted in the Remarks section, page 2, filed November 3, 2004, that "information is not available to the applicant in sufficiently dependable form to amend the application in response to the Office Action.". Applicant should know the age of the plant when described as the instant plant was part of a breeding program conducted by the inventor. As such the inventor "asexually reproduced" and "carefully observed" (as stated on page 3, lines 11-16 of the specification) the instant plant's characteristics. It is

**Detailed Action**

therefor believed the information requested is already known and can be reasonably obtained and set forth in the specification.

E. Page 4, line 17, Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed plant height and diameter. The recitation "Large" is vague and insufficient in this regard.

F. Page 5, line 1, Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed trunk diameter a specified height above the ground. The recitation "slender" is vague and insufficient in this regard.

G. Page 5, line 8, Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed shoot length and diameter. The recitation "Medium to long" for Shoot length is vague and insufficient in this regard.

H. Page 5, line 17, Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed bud size. The recitation "Medium" is vague and insufficient in this regard.

**Detailed Action**

I. Page 5, line 20, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information relative to the instant plant's leaf including the typical and observed leaf width, shape, and apex and base descriptors.

J. Page 6, line 5, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information relative to the instant plant's petiole including the typical and observed petiole diameter and coloration with reference to the employed color chart.

K. Page 6, line 13, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information relative to the instant plant's inflorescence including the typical and observed flower depth and diameter.

L. Applicant should set forth in the specification information relative to the instant plant's petals and sepals including the typical and observed petal and sepal number per flower, shape, length, width, apex, base, and margin descriptors and coloration (both surfaces) with reference to the employed color chart.

M. Page 6, line 17, Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed number of pistils per flower.

**Detailed Action**

N. Page 6, line 18, Applicant should set forth in the specification at least a generic coloration for pollen.

O. Page 7, line 4, Applicant states "0.382" but does not state what this number represents. It is not understood whether this number represents a percentage or measurement or something else. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

P. Page 7, line 9, Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed cluster size. The recitation "Medium to large" is vague and insufficient in this regard.

Q. Page 8, line 6, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information relative to the instant plant's flesh including the typical and observed flesh coloration with reference to the employed color chart. The recitation "Colorless" does not appear accurate as colorless refers to something which has no color. The flesh should have some sort of coloration as one can see it. If it were colorless then it would appear to be invisible.

**Detailed Action**

R. Page 8, line 12, Applicant should set forth in the specification a USDA Hardiness zone as the recitations “cold” and “heat” do not set forth a temperature in which the instant plant may survive and/or withstand.

S. Applicant should set forth --plant-- in The Claim (MPEP 1605, 37 CFR 1.164) as The Claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified “plant”.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicants should carefully review the disclosure and import into same any corrected or additional information which would aid in botanically identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United States Plant Patent protection is sought.

**Claim Rejection**

**35 U.S.C. 112, 1st & 2nd Paragraphs**

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for the reasons set forth in the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

**Detailed Action**

**Future Correspondence**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Kent L. Bell whose telephone number is (571) 272-0973. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached at (571) 272-0811.

The fax phone number for the group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

K. L. Bell

KENT BELL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
*Kent J. Bell*